

"EFFECTS OF AGGREGATE FILLER CONTENT VARIATION AND CEMENT PRESENCE ON THE LABORATORY PROPERTIES OF A SINGLE SLURRY SEAL SYSTEM."

BY


C. ROBERT BENEDICT, CONSULTANT ISSA DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH

AND

BARBARA J. MARTIN, TECHNICIAN

POLYMAC CORP., ALPHA LABS P.O. BOX 74 ALPHA, OHIO 453Ø1 (513-298-6647)

PREPARED FOR PRESENTATION AT THE 2ND WORLD CONGRESS ON SLURRY SEAL AND 25TH ANNUAL CONVENTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SLURRY SEAL ASSOCIATION MARCH 8-12, 1987, INTERCONTINENTAL HOTEL, GENEVA, SWITZERLAND.



OBJECTIVES:

THIS PAPER BEGAN AS A ROUTINE TRAINING EXERCISE ON THE EFFECTS OF FILLER CONTENT ON SAND EQUIVALENT AND THEIR EFFECTS ON THE WET MIXING AND SETTING CHARACTERISTICS. THE RULE THAT MOST LABORATORY INVESTIGATIONS RAISE MORE QUESTIONS THAN ANSWERED WAS NOT THE EXCEPTION HERE WHERE THE WORK WAS EXPANDED TO INCLUDE THE EFFECTS OF FILLER CONTENTS ON MANY KINDS OF SLURRY SEAL TESTS.

Underlying this investigation are 2 graphs from the Early 60's (Figures 1 & 2) which appear in ISSA Literature. We hope to eventually verify or modify Kari and Coyne's work.

FURTHER AROUSING OUR CURIOSITY ARE MANY COMMENTS WE HAVE RECEIVED FROM ALL CORNERS REGARDING BOTH THE GOOD AND BAD EFFECTS OF FILLER QUALITY AND CONTENT IN FIELD APPLICATIONS.

THE OBJECTIVE THEN BECOMES TO STUDY AND REPORT THE EFFECTS OF FILLER CONTENT AND CEMENT PRESENCE ON A WIDE RANGE OF SLURRY SEAL TESTS IN A SINGLE AGGREGATE-EMULSION SYSTEM. A SECONDARY OBJECTIVE IS TO ESTABLISH BENCHMARK NUMBERS FOR THE EFFECTS OF FILLER CONTENT IN THE SYSTEM TESTED FOR FUTURE COMPARISON WITH OTHER SYSTEMS.

CAUTION:

THE TESTS RESULTS PRESENTED HERE ARE RESULTS WITH A SINGLE AGGREGATE-EMULSION MIX SYSTEM AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED AS TYPICAL TO OTHER SYSTEMS. WHEN ANY ONE MATERIAL OR PROPORTION IS CHANGED, ENTIRELY DIFFERENT RESULTS WILL LIKELY BE FOUND. "EACH SYSTEM IS IT'S OWN THING" AND MUST BE TESTED INDIVIDUALLY.

PROCEDURE

THE AGGREGATE SELECTED FOR MIXING WAS SANDUSKY, OHIO DOLOMITE. THE SAMPLE WAS DRIED, SPLIT AND DRY SCREENED TO REMOVE ALL PLUS 4.75 UM (#4) AND Ø/75 UM (-200) FILLERS. THE Ø/75 UM FILLER WAS RETAINED FOR REBLENDING AT Ø, 5, 10, 15, & 20% FILLER CONTENTS. THE PLUS 4.75, UM FRACTION WAS DISCARDED. THE AGGREGATE WAS JUDGED AS A GOOD QUALITY MID-CONTINENT DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE.


THE RECONSTITUTED OR REGRADED AGGREGATES WERE MIXED WITH AN UNMODIFIED CATIONIC CQS EMULSION JUDGED TO BE OF VERY GOOD TO EXCELLENT QUALITY. EACH MIX VARIED THE FILLER, CEMENT AND EMULSION CONTENTS. RESULTS WERE TABULATED AND GRAPHED TO SHOW THE RESULTS OF THE VARIATIONS. IN TOTAL, SOME 400 OBSERVATIONS ARE REPORTED HERE.

<u>MATERIALS</u>

EMULSIFIED ASPHALT (BITUMEN) WAS A SINGLE, 1-GALLON SAMPLE OF A PRODUCTION RUN OF USING HIGH QUALITY MATERIALS. 1.5% EMULSIFIER "M" WAS USED AND NEUTRALIZED TO A PH OF 3.0. THE BITUMEN RESIDUE WAS 63.0%. NO SIEVE WAS OBSERVED. STABILITY WAS GOOD. NO FURTHER TESTING OF THE EMULSION PROPERTIES IS REPORTED HERE.

PORTLAND CEMENT WAS A LOCAL TYPE 1 - PLAIN, MANUFACTURED FROM A DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE SIMILAR TO THE MIX AGGREGATE.

WATER WAS OUR SOFTENED LOCAL WELL WATER. TRACES OF IRON ARE NOTED.



RELATIONSHIP OF AGGREGATE FIG. 1 Properties to Swrry Stal Segregation




FIGURE 1 SHOWS THE PROBABLE EFFECTS OF SAND EQUIVALENT AND FILLER CONTENT ON SEGREGATION. FIGURE 2 SHOWS THE CAUSE OF CRACKING AND BRITTLENESS AS HIGH FILLER CONTENT. LOW FILLER CONTENTS CAUSE SANDING AND STREAKING. OVERSIZE CAUSES STREAKING.

THESE GRAPHS REPRESENT 1964 STATE-OF-THE-ART KNOWLEDGE. IMPROVED SYSTEMS MAY ALTER ISSA GRADATION REQUIREMENTS. 1987's

AGGREGATE PROPERTIES

EXPERIMENTAL DR	Y GRADATIONS	- %	PASSING
-----------------	--------------	-----	---------

SIEVE SIZES	AS REC'D	AS PREP	ARED (DR	Y) NOMINAL	FILLER	CONTENT:
METRIC U.S.		Ø	5	1Ø	15	2Ø
9.5 MM 3/8" 8.0 5/16" 6.3 1/4" 4.75 #4 2.36 #8 1.18 #16 600 UM #30 300 UM #50 150 UM #200 75 UM #325	100 99.268195.503 82.68195.503	 100 67.2 36.6 19.4 9.7 4.5	100 69.2 39.4 23.4 14.2 95.0	 100 70.8 43.0 27.4 18.7 14.0	 100 72.1 46.1 33.9 18.0	 100 74.1 49.3 35.5 27.8 23.6
ADD Ø/75 UM WAS TOTAL Ø/75 UM (TOTAL Ø/44 UM (H LOSS Ø/2ØØ) Ø/325) (84.2%	3.Ø 3.Ø 2.5	3.Ø 8.Ø 6.7	3.Ø 13.Ø 11.Ø	3.Ø 18.Ø 15.2	3.Ø 23.Ø 19.4
(MG. MB/G.Ø/44 = "METHYL BLUE SAND EQUIVALENT		TAL Ø/44 5.0	UM 13.5	21.9	3Ø.3	38.7
FROM WASHED S FROM DRY SIEV	IEVE:	100.0 91.0	92.8 83.2	85.3 75.8	76.7 67.9	70.9 60.2
S.E. RECIPROC TOTAL Ø/75 UM	AL x 100 x (0/200) =	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.7
"SAND EQUIVA	LENT FACTOR"	3.3	9.6	17.2	26.5	38.2
OTHER AGGREGATE	PROPERTIES			#1		#2
ASG (APPARENT S SG-SSD (SATURAT BULK-SG-SSD ABSORBTION #57 SODIUM SULFATE SODIUM SULFATE LOS ANGELES ABR	ED SURFACE DR (3/4") SOUNDNESS (1Ø SOUNDNESS (1Ø	Y) CYCLES, CYCLES	ON TOTAL ON #4,-3,	2.76 2.69 2.57 2.40% S) 2.98% /8")1.27% 28.6%		2.62 2.36 2.0%
ACID SOLUBILITY	•			96.3%		
44/75 UM SURFAC Ø/44 UM SURFACE		ERMEABIL	ITY)	1548.95 Cl 2493.92	M ² ∕G	
ZETA POTENTIAL:	P 2 6 9 11	H .3 .7 .5		MV. 1.6 -16.2 -15.9 -30.9		
LHORTY COEFFICI	ENT OF ACTIVI	TY		Ø.5 -	Ø.4	

TABLE 1




FIGURE 3 EXPERIMENTAL GRADATIONS




FIG. 4 EFFECT OF FILLER CONTENT ON SAND EQNAMENT

FIGURE 4 SHOWS TWO SAND EQUIVALENT CURVES. ONE USED WASHED AGGREGATE WITH FILLER ADDED WHILE THE OTHER WAS DRY SCREENED WITH FILLER ADDED. EACH ARE LINEAR, PARALLEL AND PROPORTIONAL TO THE AMOUNT OF FILLER. BY KNOWING THE PRECISE S.E. CURVES, FILLER CONTENT MAYBE ESTIMATED FROM THE S.E.

	% OF "	BLUE"NUMBER Mg MB/g AGG
0/75mm. (DRY SIEVED)	100	l'2
44/75/WM (WET-SIEVED)	15.8	,2
O/44 jum (DRY SIEVED)	84.2	20

FIGURE 5. METHYL BLUE SATURATION OF





FIGURE 6 PHOTOS OF THE METHYL BLUE TESTS FOR THE 44/75 UM AND $\emptyset/44$ UM FILLER. THE METHYL BLUE "NUMBER" IS NEARLY TOTALLY DUE TO THE $\emptyset/44$ UM FRACTION.

ADD 0/75 UM WASH LOSS TOTAL 0/75 UM (0/200) TOTAL 0/44 UM (0/325) (84,2)	3.Ø 3.Ø 2.5	3.Ø 8.Ø 6.7	3.Ø 13.Ø 11.Ø	3.Ø 18.Ø 15.2	3.Ø 23.Ø 19.4
(MG. MB/G.Ø/44 JM (2.Ø) x TO = "METHYL BLUE FACTOR" SAND EQUIVALENT:	OTAL Ø/44 5.0	UM 13.5	21.9	30.3	38.7
FROM WASHED SIEVE: FROM DRY SIEVE:	100.0 91.0	92.8 83.2	85.3 75.8	76.7 67.9	7Ø.9 6Ø.2
S.E. RECIPROCAL X 100 X	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.7
TOTAL 0/75 UM (0/200) = "SAND EQUIVALENT FACTOR"	3.3	9.6	172	26.5	38.2

TABLE 3. METAYLBINE & SAND EQUIVALENT FACTORS



PIGURE 7. RELATION OF METHYLBINE FACTOR AND SAND EQUIVALENT FACTOR

FIGURE 7 THE METHYL BLUE FACTOR IS THE $\emptyset/44$ UM BLUE NUMBER X THE TOTAL PERCENT OF $\emptyset/44$ UM PRESENT. THE SAND EQUIVALENT FACTOR IS THE S.E. RECIPROCAL X 100 X TOTAL PERCENT OF $\emptyset/75$ UM PRESENT. THERE IS GOOD CORRELATION BETWEEN THE TWO CURVES.

PARTICLE SIZE MICROMETRES	% PASSING	PARTICLE SIZE	% PASSING
1 .	8.8	8.0	51.2
1.5	11.4	12.0	64.1
2.0	18.0	16.0	75.8
3.0	24.9	24.0	90.1
4. 0	31.7	32.0	97.7
6.0	41.4	48.0	100.0

TABLE 4 LASER GRANULOMETER PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF 0/44 JM FILLER




FIGURE 8 SHOWS A LASER GRANULOMETER PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS OF THE Ø/44 UM FILLER. NOTE THAT OVER 50% OF THE FILLER IS LESS THAN 8 UM. THE PARTICLE SIZE GRADATION IS SIMILAR TO THAT FOUND IN MANY SLURRY EMULSIONS. WHEN 15% FILLER IS PRESENT AND 12% EMULSION IS USED, THERE IS ROUGHLY EQUAL WEIGHT PERCENTAGES OF BITUMEN AND FILLER PARTICLES.

OTHER AGGREGATE PROPERTIES	#1	#2
ASG (APPARENT SPECIFIC GRAVITY) SG-SSD (SATURATED SURFACE DRY) BULK-SG-SSD ABSORBTION #57 (3/4") SODIUM SULFATE SOUNDNESS (10 CYCLES, ON TOTA SODIUM SULFATE SOUNDNESS (10 CYCLES ON #4,-3 LOS ANGELES ABRASION LOSS ("B")	2.76 2.69 2.57 2.40% LS) 2.98% 3/8")1.27%	2.62 2.36 2.0%
ACID SOLUBILITY	96.3%	
44/75 UM SURFACE AREA (AIR PERMEABILITY) Ø/44 UM SURFACE AREA	1548.95 CM2 /G 2493.92	
ZETA POTENTIAL: PH 2.3 6.7 9.5 11.2	MV. 1.6 -16.2 -15.9 -30.9	
LHORTY COEFFICIENT OF ACTIVITY	Ø.5 - Ø.4	
TARI F 5		

TESTS

CUP, MIX & SET TIME STRIP CHART MIX TIME WET COHESION CURED COHESION STRENGTH & STRETCH

APPEARANCE
TOUGHNESS
ADHESION
WET TRACK ABRASION;
1-Hour Soak
6-Day Soak

LOADED WHEEL:
RUT RESISTANCE
SAND ADHESION
FLEXURAL TENSION




FIGURE 9 THE MOTORIZED COHESION TEST USING A STRIP CHART RECORDER FOR THE "STRENGTH & STRETCH" TEST.




FIG. 10. EFFECT OF PILLER & CEMPENT CONTENT ON MIKTIME (COP) CIRS EMULSION

FIGURE 10 CUP MIX TIME. WITH NO CEMENT AN INCREASE IN FILLER CONTENT HAS NO EFFECT ON MIX TIME. WHEN 1% CEMENT IS ADDED MIX TIME IS GREATLY REDUCED WHEN FILLER CONTENTS ARE INCREASED TO 15% AND 20%.




FIG. 11. EFFECT OF EMULSION CONTENT ON MIX TIME (OUP) AND CLEAR WATER SET TIME @ 15% FILLER

4.4

FIGURE 11: INCREASING THE EMULSION CONTENT HAS NO EFFECT ON MIX TIME AT 15% FILLER CONTENT. WHEN 1% CEMENT IS ADDED MIX TIME IS INCREASED WITH INCREASED EMULSION CONTENT BUT CLEAR WATER SET TIMES ARE REDUCED!




FIGURE 12A: 15Ø RPM MIXING CHARACTERISTICS BY STRIP CHART RECORDING: 5% FILLER WITH Ø, 1, 2, & 3% CEMENT, 12% EMULSION.




FIGURE 12B: 150 RPM MIXING CHARACTERISTICS BY STRIP CHART RECORDING: 20% FILLER WITH 0, 1, & 2% CEMENT AT 12% EMULSION.




FIGURE 12c: 150 RPM MIXING CHARACTERISTICS BY STRIP CHART RECORDING: 5 & 20% FILLER AT 2% CEMENT AND 16% EMULSION. NOTE THE DRAMATIC DIFFERENCE IN TIME TO BREAK CAUSED BY THE INCREASE IN FILLER.




FIG. 13. EFFECT OF FILLER, CEMENT & EMULSION CONTENT ON STRIP CHART MIX TIME

FIGURE 13 SUMMARIZES RESULTS OF THE 150 RPM STRIP CHART MIXING CHARACTERISTICS TEST. AT 12% EMULSION CONTENT, AN INCREASE IN FILLER ALONE REDUCES MIX TIME TO BREAK. THE ADDITION OF CEMENT FURTHER REDUCES MIX TIME. AT 16% EMULSION AND 2% CEMENT THERE IS A LARGE REDUCTION IN MIX TIME FROM 5% TO 20% FILLER.

NOTE: THE IDIOSYNCRACIES OF EACH STRIP CHART ARE NOTED HERE. FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS PAPER ONLY THE MIX TIME TO BREAK IS REPORTED.




FIG. 14. EFFECT OF FILLER CONTENT AND
CEMENT ON 301 40 MINUTE
WET COHESION @ 12% EMPLISION




FIG. 15. EFFECT OF FILLER CONTENT AND WET CHESION C 16% EMPLYION

FIGURES 14 AND 15 EACH SHOW SIMILAR TRENDS IN WET COHESION AT 30 AND 60 MINUTES USING 12% AND 16% EMULSION, WITH AND WITHOUT CEMENT.

IN ALL CASES CEMENT SHOWS HIGHER WET COHESIONS THAN PLAIN. IN ALL CASES, WET COHESION DROPS OFF AT 20% FILLER CONTENT. WHEN CEMENT IS PRESENT WET COHESION AT 12% EMULSION PEAKS AT 5% FILLER WHILE 16% EMULSION PEAKS AT 10% FILLER.

WITHOUT CEMENT WET COHESION INCREASES WITH AN INCREASE IN FILLER BUT THE REVERSE IS TRUE WHEN CEMENT IS PRESENT.




FIG. 16. EFFECT OF FILLER, EMUSION AND CEMENT COUTENT ON 60 C CURED COHESION





FIG. 17. EFFECT OF FILLER AND CEMEUT CONTENT ON CURED COMESION— —AVERAGE OF 8,12,168 EMULSION

FIGURES 16 & 17 SHOW THE RESULTS OF FILLER EMULSION AND CEMENT CONTENTS ON 60C CURED COHESION (RESISTANCE TO HIGH TEMPERATURE FLOW OR COHESION). THE HIGHEST NUMBERS ARE AT HIGH FILLER CONTENTS AND NO CEMENT.






FIGURE 19. EFFECT OF FILLER, CEMENT & EMULSION GOTENT ON STRENGTH & STRETCH WOOL TORSIONAL COHESION

FIGURES 18 AND 19 SHOW THE RESULTS OF 60C LOW SPEED (1.3 RPM OR 8 RADIANS) ROTATIONAL SHEAR. USING THE STRIP CHART RECORD AND A FOLLOW-UP TORQUE GAGE, THE PEAK TORQUE AT FAILURE AND THE TIME TO FAILURE IS RECORDED. THESE PROPERTIES ARE WHAT WE CALL "STRENGTH AND STRETCH."

IN OUR EXPERIENCE, THOUGH LOW, THESE VALUES ARE GOOD VALUES FOR UNMODIFIED SYSTEMS. INCREASE IN FILLER INCREASES THE "STRENGTH". MAXIMUM STRENGTH IS ACHIEVED WITHOUT CEMENT.

IT IS INTERESTING THAT "STRETCH" PEAKS AT 12% EMULSION WHEN CEMENT IS PRESENT AT BOTH 5 AND 15% FILLER CONTENTS.




FIGURE 20. EFFECT OF FILLER, EMPISION & CEMENT CONTENT ON APPEARANCE

FIGURE 20: THE INITIAL APPEARANCE OF CURED SLURRY IS AN IMPORTANT CHARACTERISTIC. OUR RESULTS ARE SOMEWHAT SUBJECTIVE BUT THE RESULTS ARE CLEAR. BOTH THE PRESENCE OF CEMENT AND AN INCREASE IN FILLER IMPROVES THE INITIAL APPEARANCE OF THIS SYSTEM.




FIGURE 21. EFFECT OF FILLER, EMULSION & COMENT CONTENT ON SUBJECTIVE TOUGHNESS

FIGURE 21: "SUBJECTIVE TOUGHNESS" OR "HOW-THE-COOKIE-CRUMBLES" TEST IN GENERAL SHOWS THAT AN INCREASE IN FILLER AT BOTH 12 AND 16% EMULSION MAKES THE CURVED SLURRY "TOUGHER". THE TOUGHEST WERE AT 15 AND 20% FILLER CONTENTS. AT 12% EMULSION, CEMENT IMPROVES TOUGHNESS WHILE AT 16% EMULSION, NO CEMENT IS BEST!

51-5-6-12	TI 10.7 1.0-7-12		E E
21-9-0 %0	10% 0-6-12		
1% CEMENT	VERYTHLU FLM SL. FREEBIT. NOU-COHESIVE 994	THICK FILM COHESNE MASS AGH	THEATHM COHESIVE MASS 95+
No CEMBUT	THIN FILM REE BITUMEN NOU-CHEINE	THIM FILM SA, FREE BIT. NOV-COHESIVE 994	THICK FILM COHESIVE MASS AG+
	0%Flue-	10% FILEE -	20% FIHER.

FIGURE 22. EFFECT OF FILLER AWD CEMENT ON BOLLOST WIFTER ADHESION TEST

FIGURES 22 AND 23 SHOW AN EVALUATION OF ADHESION AS MEASURED BY BOILING THE CURED SPECIMENS IN WATER FOR 3 MINUTES. THE PERCENTAGE RATINGS ARE ALL VERY GOOD. HOWEVER, THIN, NON-COHESIVE FILMS ARE NOTED AT LOWER FINES CONTENT. RICH COHESIVE FILMS ARE FORMED AT HIGHER FILLER CONTENTS, COHESIVENESS IS ENHANCED BY EITHER HIGH FILLER CONTENTS, CEMENT PRESENCE OR BOTH.




FIG. 24. EFFECT OF FILLER & CEMENT CONTENT
ON 1-HOUR & G-DAY SOAK WETTRACK
ABRASION TESTLOSS - 17% EMULSION

FIGURE 24 THE PRESENCE (OR ABSENCE) OF CEMENT DRAMATICALLY AFFECTS BOTH THE ONE-HOUR AND SIX-DAY SOAK WET TRACK ABRASION TESTS.

IN ALL CASES, INCREASED FILLER IMPROVES THE WTAT. CEMENT PRESENCE AT LOW OR HIGH FILLER CONTENTS MARKEDLY IMPROVES THE TEST RESULTS.

THESE SPECIMENS WERE FIRST RUN AT THE ONE-HOUR SOAK PERIOD, OVEN DRIED FOR 18 HOURS AT 60°C, COOLED AND WEIGHED TO DETERMINE THE LOSS. TO CONSERVE MATERIALS, THE SAME SPECIMENS WERE USED FOR THE SIX-DAY SOAK TEST.




FIGURE 25 EFFECT OF FILLER CEMENT & EMULSION CONTENT ON LOADED WHEEL COMPACTION 1000 CYCLES & 125 POUNDS - 1/2" SPECIMEN

FIGURE 25: RESISTANCE TO COMPACTION OR RUTTING IS PLOTTED BY MEASURING THE THICKNESS OF SPECIMENS BEFORE AND AFTER PERFORMING A LOADED WHEEL TEST OF 1000 CYCLES AT 125 POUNDS (56.8 Kg.) AND 22C. THE SPECIMENS WERE CAST AT 13 MM THICK OR "THREE STONES DEEP".

AN 12% OPTIMUM EMULSION CONTENT IS SEEN FOR MAXIMUM COMPACTION RESISTANCE UNDER THE TEST CONDITIONS.

A LARGE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE 5 AND 15% FILLER CONTENTS IS SEEN. THE HIGHER THE BETTER. THE PRESENCE OF CEMENT FURTHER IMPROVES RESISTANCE TO COMPACTION.

THE LATERAL DISPLACEMENT OF THE UNCONFINED SPECIMEN IS MUCH LOWER AT HIGHER FILLER CONTENTS.

IT IS NOTED THAT, AT 15% FILLER, 1% CEMENT AND 12% EMULSION, THE RUTTING RESISTANCE IS ROUGHLY EQUAL TO MOST POLYMER MODIFIED MATERIALS UNDER OUR TEST CONDITIONS.




FIGURE 26: LOADED WHEEL TESTS PERFORMED ON A SERIES OF 6 MM SPECIMENS AT 16% EMULSION SHOWS MORE VERTICAL DISPLACEMENT WITH 5% FILLER AND 1% CEMENT THAN WITHOUT CEMENT. THE REVERSE IS TRUE AT 20% FILLER CONTENT.

LATERAL DISPLACEMENT IS REDUCED TO NEARLY ZERO AT HIGH FILLER CONTENT.

SAND ADHESION VALUES ARE ALL HIGH, BUT A SLIGHT REDUCTION IS NOTED WHEN CEMENT IS PRESENT. FILLER INCREASE AND CEMENT ADDITION KILLS THE LOW TEMPERATURE STRETCH.




FIGURE 27: AN EXPERIMENTAL 4C LOW TEMPERATURE FLEXURAL TENSION TEST WAS TRIED ON 13 MM, COMPACTED LWT SPECIMENS. THE SPECIMEN IS BOWED UPWARD INTO AN INCREASING ARC BY A SPECIAL VICE AT A RATE OF ABOUT 1 MM PER SECOND. THE HEIGHT OF ARC AT FAILURE IS NOTED.

LOW FILLER CONTENTS AND HIGH EMULSION CONTENTS PERFORM BEST. CEMENT PRESENCE AND HIGH FILLER CONTENTS ARE MUCH MORE BRITTLE AT LOW TEMPERATURE.

SUMMARY: EFFECTS OF FILLER INCREASE AND ADDED CEMENT

FIGURE		INCREASE IN FILLER	ADDED CEMENT
1Ø	MIX TIME @ 12%	NO EFFECT	REDUCES
11	CLEARWATER SET MIX TIME @ 8, 12, 16% AE	NO EFFECT NO EFFECT	REDUCES REDUCES
13	STRIP CHART MIX TIME TO BREAK	REDUCES	REDUCES
14	WET COHESION @ 12% AE	PEAKS @ 15%	PEAKS @ 5%
15	WET COHESION @ 16% AE	PEAKS @ 15%	PEAKS @ 10%
16	CURED COHESION	INCREASES	REDUCES (!)
17	"STRENGTH" 6ØC COHESION STRIP CHART	IMPROVES	REDUCES
18	"STRETCH" 6ØC COHESION STRIP CHART	PEAKS @ 15%	PEAKS @ 12% AE
2Ø	APPEARANCE	IMPROVES	SL. REDUCTION
21	SUBJECTIVE TOUGHNESS	IMPROVES	IMPROVES
22	ADHESION	IMPROVES	IMPROVES
23	WET TRACK ABRASION TEST ONE-HOUR SOAK SIX-DAY SOAK	IMPROVES IMPROVES	IMPROVES IMPROVES
24	RUTTING RESISTANCE	IMPROVES	IMPROVES
25	LOW TEMP. FLEXIBILITY	REDUCES	REDUCES

TABLE 6 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

CONCLUSIONS

THE RESULTS OF THESE TESTS ON A SINGLE SLURRY SYSTEM INDICATE THAT FILLER CONTENTS OF 10-15% GENERALLY IMPROVE THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THIS SYSTEM. THE NOTABLE EXCEPTION IS IN LOW TEMPERATURE PROPERTIES WHERE VERY LOW FILLER CONTENTS GIVE THE BEST RESULTS.

WHEN THE COMBINATION OF BOTH STRENGTH OR TOUGHNESS AND LOW TEMPERATURE STRETCH OR FLEXIBILITY IS DESIRED THE PROPERTIES OF THIS BITUMEN-EMULSIFIER SYSTEM AND AGGREGATE GRADATION AND FILLER CONTENT MUST BE ALTERED TO GIVE THE DESIRED PROPERTIES.

REFERENCES

KARI, W.J.; COYNE, L.D.

"EMULSIFIED ASPHALT SLURRY SEALCOATS".

PROC. AAPT, Vol. 33, 1964 (p.502-537)

HARPER, W.J.; JIMENEZ, R.A.; GALLOWAY, BOB M.

"EFFECTS OF MINERAL FILLERS IN SLURRY SEAL

MIXTURES".

HIGHWAY RESEARCH RECORD #104, 1965 (P. 36-59).

LANDISE, C.C., JR.

"SOME MINERIAL FILLERS USED IN SLURRY SEAL". ISSA R & D COMMITTEE REPORT, AUGUST 1975 AND

14 ANNUAL CONV. 1976.

HARKNESS, L.M.

"SLURRY SEALING - THE TOTAL SYSTEM". PROC. 1ST WORLD CONGRESS OF SLURRY SEAL, MADRID, FEBRUARY 12, 1977.

HARKNESS, L.M.

SURFACE AREA AND ZETA POTENTIAL AND THEIR RELATION TO SLURRY MIXES. PROC. ISSA QUICK-SET, QUICK-CURE SLURRY SYSTEM SYMPOSION, FEBRUARY 13, 1978.

BENEDICT, C. ROBERT

"CLASSIFICATION OF ASPHALT EMULSION/AGGREGATE MIXTURE SYSTEMS BY COHESION TESTER MEASUREMENT

OF SET AND CURE CHARACTERISTICS".
PRESENTED ISSA CONVENTION PHOENIX, JANUARY 24-28
1983.

BENEDICT, C. ROBERT

"USES OF THE MODIFIED COHESION_TEST FOR EMULSION FORMULATION AND MIX DESIGN OF PERFORMANCE COLD MIX SYSTEMS".

PRESENTED AEMA CONVENTION NEW ORLEANS, MARCH 5-8 1985.

BENEDICT, C. ROBERT

"EXPERIMENTS WITH CURED COHESION TESTING OF SLURRY SEALS AND THIN LAYERED COLD MIXES". PRESENTED ISSA CONVENTION SAN FRANCISCO.

JANUARY 28, 1986.

WOODSIDE, ALAN

PERSONAL COMMUNICATION AND METHYL BLUE TESTS. UNIVERSITY OF ULSTER AT JORDANSTOWN, NORTHERN

IRELAND, ABOUT MAY 1985.

BREUER, J.U.

DIPL.-ING. PRUFUNG DER WASSEREMPFINDLICHKEIT VON GESTEINSMEHLFULLERN". UNIVERSITY MUNICH, 1964-RECEIVED 1982.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

WE WISH TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE ASSISTANCE OF PROF. D.Y. LEE AND SANG SOO KIM OF IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY FOR FURNISHING THE ZETA POTENTIAL AND SURFACE AREA ANALYSIS AND TO JEAN WALTER OF SCREG ROUTES, PARIS FOR FURNISHING THE LASER GRANULOMETER PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS AND THE LCPC METHYL BLUE TEST PROCEDURE AND TO JAIME GORDILLO OF COMPOSAN S.A., MADRID FOR FURNISHING THE LHORTY ACTIVITY COEFFICIENT PROCEDURE. SPECIAL THANKS IS DUE OUR SECRETARY, MISTY HALL FOR PREPARING THE TYPED MANUSCRIPT.